Processors & Growers Research Organisation



PROCESSORS' DAY GUIDE

1996

The Research Station Great North Road, Thornhaugh Peterborough PE8 6HJ

Tel: Stamford (01780) 782585

CONTENTS

			\underline{PAGE}
Introduction & Welcome	2		1
Weather for the 1996 Season			1
Programme & PGRO Staff			2
Summary of Vining Pea Variety Trials			3- 8
Vining Pea Main Variety Trial Results, Thornhaugh	Table	1	9
Vining Pea Preliminary Variety Trial Results, Thornhaugh	Table	2	10
Vining Pea Screening Variety Trial Results, Thornhaugh	Table	3	11-12
Summary of Combining Pea Variety Trials			13-18
Combining Pea Recommended List Variety Trial Results, Thornhaugh	Table	4	19
Combining Pea Recommended List Variety Trial Results, Chatteris	Table	5	20
Combining Pea Preliminary Variety Trial Results, Thornhaugh	Table	6	21
Combining Pea Screening Variety Trial Results, Thornhaugh	Table	7	22-23
Combining Pea Winter Pea Variety Trial Results, Thornhaugh	Table	8	24
Summary of Green Bean Variety Trials			25-27
Green Bean Main Variety Trial Results, Thornhaugh	Table	9	28
Green Bean Preliminary Variety Trial Results, Thornhaugh	Table	10	29
Green Bean Machine Harvesting Results, Thornhaugh	Table	11	30
Summary of Broad Bean Variety Trial			31-32
Broad Bean Variety Trial Results, Thornhaugh	Table	12	33
Processing Details for Canned and Frozen Samples			34-35
Appendix 1 - Key to Source of Varieties			35-36

PROCESSORS DAY

7 NOVEMBER 1996

Vegetable processing seems to be one of the most vulnerable areas of farming to production cycles and this has to be a cause of concern to producers, processors and retailers. Whilst production and marketing personnel grapple with the present depressing situation, one important aspect relates directly to FGRO work. This is that product quality is important, and that often high quality crops can be produced at little additional cost over 'economy' or 'value' samples. Here choice of variety is of paramount importance, for varietal characteristics affect the size, colour, flavour and texture of peas and beans.

Processors' Day allows the demonstration of the canned and frozen produce of over 100 pea and bean varieties. Together with the agronomic information recorded from trials at Thornhaugh and elsewhere, it provides the ideal backdrop to the selection of peas and beans to grow in 1997.

We hope that you have an enjoyable and useful day and that we will continue to work together in all aspects of pea and bean production.

October 1996

G. P. Gent Director

WEATHER FOR THE 1996 SEASON

Following a very wet autumn, rainfall for January was a little below normal and above average for February. The period from March to the end of July received only 56% of the long-term average rainfall.

Average weekly air temperatures during the first two weeks of December were below normal. The third week of December was bitterly cold with mean weekly temperatures below zero. Temperatures then rose and were above normal for the end of December and first half of January. The second half of January was again very cold with mean temperatures below zero for these two weeks. Temperatures remained below normal until the first week of April. The middle two weeks of April were a little warmer than average. The last week of April and the first two weeks of May were again cooler than normal. The first half of June was a little warmer than normal, but cooler during the second half. Temperatures were a little higher than normal during July.

Field thrips and weevil were active during the early part of the growing season. Fungal diseases were negligible in pea crops, but there were some aphid infestations.

Silver Y (Autographa gamma) caterpillars were a problem in some areas in late drilled crops.

The vining pea harvest started on the 25th June. The varieties initially matured slowly, but maturity was more rapid towards the end of the season.

Combining started on the 15^{th} July with autumn sown varieties, while combining of the spring sown varieties commenced on the 24^{th} July.

Green bean trials at Thornhaugh were irrigated this year.

PROGRAMME

- 10.15 Coffee on Arrival
- 10.30 Introductory Talk by PGRO Staff
- 11.30 Inspection of Peas
- 1.00 Lunch
- 2.00 Inspection of Beans
- 3.15 Tea and Dispersal

STAFF

Director - G.P. Gent, NDA, MRAC

Agronomy & Botany

Principal Technical Officer & Head of Section Senior Technical Officer Technical Officer Technical Assistant

Ms. C.M. Knott, BSc(Hons), MIAgrE S.J. Beicher, BSc(Hons)

J. Scrimshaw, BSc(Hons) T.R. Duckett BSc(Hons)

Biology & Advisory Services

Principal Technical Officer & Head of Section

Head of Section Senior Technical Officer Technical Officer A.J. Biddle, BA, MPhil, PhD, CBiol, MIBiol B.M. McKeown, BSc(Hons), HND (App. Biol)

Miss R.L. Ward, BSc(Hons)

Information Officer

Miss J.S. Moore, BSc(Hons)

Administration

Accounts

J.C. Boon

Administrative Officer Administrative Officer Mrs Z. K. Thursby

Miss S. Blake

Maintenance

J.G. Young

VINING PEAS

Summary of Variety Trial Results 1996

Varieties were evaluated in Main, Preliminary and Screening Trials. Promising varieties from 1994 and 1995 Preliminary Trials were assessed in the Main Trial. Preliminary Trial varieties are at National List stage of testing in an EU member country, while breeders material at an early stage of development is evaluated in the Screening Trial

Seed of all varieties was treated to control damping off, downy mildew and Assochyta diseases. Breeders stock of standard varieties was used in all trials. Avola replaced Sprite as the standard variety for maturity; Waverex the petits pois standard; Scout the yield standard; and Puget the late maturing standard; Bikini (semi-leafless and semi-fasciated) was also included as a standard variety.

Drilling conditions were good, but the peas were slow to emerge in the cold weather that followed. The trials established well and evenly. Broadleaved weeds were controlled pre-emergence with Reflex T (fomesafen/terbutryn) and where required, post-emergence with Pulsar + Fortrol (bentazone/MCPB + cyanazine).

Aphid numbers built up rapidly in June and were controlled with an insecticide spray.

The harvest began on the 25th June and was completed by the 26th July.

Samples from all trials were quick-frozen, and there were few blond peas in the frozen samples this year. Samples from the Main and Preliminary trials were canned, as in previous years, without colour additive.

Main Trial, Thornhaugh - Table 1

Five varieties CO 4554, Cabree, Jaguar, Alfold and Balmoral completed three years of testing in 1996 and a three year summary of results will be published in Variety Trials Manual No 1: Vining and Combining peas.

Cabree and CO 4554 matured at the same time as Avola.

Avola gave significantly higher yields than Scout. Produce was large size grade, with a good, even colour.

 \underline{CO} $\underline{4554}$ was semi-leafless and stood erect. Produce was large size grade, with a good even colour.

 $\underline{\mathit{CMG}\ 290}$ had short haulm, matured 1 day later than Avola and gave similar yields. Peas were smaller than Avola and had a bright, even colour.

Jaguar matured 5 days later than Avola and lodged severely this year. Yields were similar to Avola at TR 100, but produce was smaller, medium size grade with uneven colour.

 \underline{Bikini} matured 6 days later than Avola. Bikini was semi-leafless, semi-fasciated and had short haulm, but lodged badly. Yields of medium-large size grade peas were higher than Scout, but not significantly so. Pea colour was good and even.

Pascha and Waverex matured 7 days later than Avola.

<u>Pascha</u> was semi-leafless and stood erect. Yields of medium size grade, evenly coloured peas were higher than Scout, but not significantly so.

<u>Waverex</u> had very short haulm. Yields were lower than Scout, but not significantly lower. Produce was very small, with 94% of the peas <8.75mm diameter. Pea colour was rather pale and uneven.

Samish, Scout and Colana matured 8 days later than Avola.

 \underline{Samish} gave significantly higher yields than Scout at TR 100. Produce was medium-large size grade, with a good, dark, even colour.

<u>Scout</u> suffered in the dry conditions and yields were very low this year. Produce was large size grade and evenly coloured.

<u>Colana</u> had finer foliage than Scout. Yields were higher than Scout, but not significantly so. Produce was medium size grade and had a good bright and even colour.

<u>Griffin</u> matured 1 day later than Scout. Yields were higher than Scout, but not significantly so. Peas were medium-large size grade and evenly coloured.

 $rac{Alfold}{Yields}$ was semi-leafless, stood erect and matured 2 days later than Scout. Yields were similar to Scout. Peas were medium-large size grade and had a slightly pale colour.

 $\underline{\textit{Puget}}$ matured 3 days later than Scout and gave high yields, significantly higher than Scout. Peas were large-medium size grade with a pale, but even colour.

 $\underline{\textit{Saturn}}$ matured 4 days later than Scout. Yields were higher than Scout, but not significantly so. Peas were medium size grade with a good, bright and even colour.

Gemini, Snake and Purser matured 5 days later than Scout.

 \underline{Gemini} was semi-leafless and had longer haulm than Scout, but stood erect. Yiolds were higher than Scout, but not significantly so. Peas were medium size grade with a bright, even colour.

 \underline{Snake} was semi-leafless with relatively short haulm and remained erect. Yields were very high, significantly higher than Scout and the highest in the trial at TR 120. Produce was medium-large size grade, with a good even colour.

 $\underline{\textit{Purser}}$ was semi-leafless and remained erect. Yields of medium-large size grade peas were significantly better than Scout. Paas had a dark, even colour.

 $\frac{Balmoral}{ability}$ matured 6 days later than Scout. Haulm was long, but standing $\frac{ability}{ability}$ was good. Yields were significantly higher than Scout and the highest in the trial at TR 100. Peas were medium size grade with a good even colour.

Preliminary Trial, Thornhaugh - Table 2

Twenty one varieties including the standards were evaluated.

 \underline{Avola} was the first variety to mature and had longer haulm than Scout this \underline{year} . Yields were lower than Scout at TR 100, but similar at TR 120. Peas were large size grade with a good, even colour.

Talbot matured 2 days later than Avola. Haulm was shorter than Avola and yields were a little lower than Scout. Peas were large size grade (smaller than Avola) and had a good colour.

 $\frac{SIS\ 1494-4}{Scout,\ but}$ was semi-leafless with short haulm. Yields were higher than good, even colour. Peas were small-medium size grade with a good, even colour.

SIS 1481.10 and Bikini matured 7 days later than Avola.

 $SIS\ 1481.10$ had short haulm and fine foliage. Produce was similar in size to Waverex with 89% of the peas <8.75mm diameter. Peas were paler than Waverex, but even in colour.

 $\frac{Bikini}{higher}$ was semi-leafless, semi-fasciated and short strawed. Yields were higher than Scout, but not significantly so. Peas were medium-large size grade with a bright, even colour.

CLX 2240, Scout, Waverex, Apexina, Favorit, 4-9172 and XPF 319 matured 10 days later than Avola.

 $\underline{\it CLX~2240}$ gave similar yields to Scout. Produce was small-medium size grade with a good even colour.

 \underline{Scout} was higher yielding than in the Main Trial, but gave little increase \underline{from} TR 100 to TR 120. Scout had long haulm and lodged. Peas were large size grade with a good, even colour.

 $\underline{\textit{Waverex}}$ gave lower yields than Scout, significantly so at TR 100. Produce was very small with 86% of the peas <8.75mm diameter. Peas were paler than Scout.

<u>Apexina</u> had fine foliage and gave yields similar to Scout. Produce was medium size grade, rather pale, but even in colour.

Favorit was a little higher yielding than Scout but not significantly so. Produce was medium size grade with a good even colour.

4-9172 was semi-leafless and stood erect. Yields of medium size grade, evenly coloured peas were a little lower than Scout.

XPF 319 was semi-leafless with short haulm and remained erect. Yields were similar to Waverex and produce was similar in size with 88% of the peas <8.75mm diameter. Peas had a very good, dark, even colour.

 $\underline{\it SIS}$ 1312-2, SIS 1472-1, Costa, SIS 1276-2, Conductor, Puget and Methow matured 1 day later than Scout.

 \underline{SIS} 1213-2 had short haulm. Produce was large-medium size grade with a good even colour. Yields were very good, significantly higher than Scout and were the highest in the trial.

<u>SIS 1472-1</u> was semi-leafless and stood erect. Yields of medium size grade, evenly coloured peas were significantly higher than Scout at TR 100.

 $\underline{\textit{Costa}}$ had heavy foliage. Yields of large-medium size grade peas were higher than Scout, but not significantly so. Peas had a slightly pale, uneven colour.

 \underline{SIS} 1276-2 was semi-leafless and stood erect. Yields of medium-large size grade peas were similar to Scout. Peas were dark and evenly coloured.

<u>Conductor</u> was semi-leafless, short strawed and remained erect. Yields were lower than Scout, but not significantly so. Produce was large size grade, similar to Scout and had a very dark and even colour.

<u>Methow</u> was higher yielding than Scout, but not significantly so. Produce was large-medium size grade with a good, dark, even colour.

CMG 297 and CMG.308 were both semi-leafless and stood erect.

<u>CMG 297</u> matured 3 days later than Scout and gave significantly higher yields than Scout. Produce was medium size grade with a good, even colour.

<u>CMG 308</u> matured 4 days later than Scout. Yields were similar to CMG 297, significantly higher than Scout. Produce was medium-large size grade, with a good, dark, even colour.

Promising varieties were XPF 319 (petits pois), Favorit, SIS 1213-2, SIS 1472-1, Methow, CMG 297 and CMG 308.

Screening Trial, Thornhaugh - Table 3

Thirty eight varieties including the standards were evaluated. Scout gave better yields than in the earlier sown trials, but did not give a yield increase from TR 100 to TR 120. Varieties FR 43 and FR 152 AF were selectively grazed by rabbits. Results for these varieties have therefore been omitted.

SIS 1329-5 and SIS 995.8.2 matured at the same time as Avola. SIS 1329-5 had short haulm and was lower yielding than Avola at TR 100, but gave similar yields at TR 120. Yields of all three varieties were not statistically different from Scout. SIS 1329-5 gave large size grade (but smaller than Avola) peas with even colour. SIS 995.8.2 gave peas of medium-large size grade with a dark and even colour.

 \overline{FR} 87 had short haulm and matured 1 day later than Avola. Yields of medium size grade peas were lower than Scout but not significantly so. FR 87 had a pale, but even colour.

Conf 164, FP 2073, FP 2067 and BL 208 matured 3 days later than Avola.

 \underline{Conf} $\underline{164}$ was semi-leafless with long haulm and stood erect. Yields were similar to Scout and produce was smaller, medium size grade. Peas had a good, dark, even colour.

 $FP\ 2073$ was semi-leafless with a good erect plant habit. Yields of medium-large size grade peas were high, but not significantly higher than Scout. Peas had a good, dark, even colour.

 $\underline{\mathit{FP}}\ 2067$ was semi-leafless $\,$ with short haulm and stood erect. Yields were lower than Scout, but not significantly lower. Peas were medium-large size grade with a dark colour.

 \underline{BL} $\underline{208}$ had short haulm. Yields were significantly lower than Scout, but peas were small-very small size grade with 72% of the peas <8.75mm diameter.

WAV 341, SIS 1322.3, WAV 363 and SIS 12.485.1 matured 4 days later than Avola.

<u>WAV 341</u> gave high yields, but yields were not significantly higher than Scout. Produce was larger than Scout with a good, even colour.

 $\underline{SIS~1322.3}$ gave higher yields than Scout at TR 100, but not significantly higher. Produce was similar in size to Scout with even colour.

 \overline{MAV} 363 was significantly lower yielding than Scout, but peas were very small with 83% of the peas <0.75mm diameter. After processing pea colour was rather pale and uneven.

 \overline{SIS} 12.485.1 was semi-leafless and stood erect. Yields were significantly higher than Scout at TR 120 and produce size was similar. Peas had a good even colour.

FR 34, Conf 5 and Conf 163 matured 5 days later than Avola.

FR 34 had short haulm and gave significantly lower yields than Scout. Produce was very small with 81% of the peas <8.75mm diameter. Peas were dark and evenly coloured.

<u>Conf 5</u> was semi-leafless and stood erect. Yields of dark, evenly coloured, medium size grade peas were significantly higher than Scout at TR 120.

Semi-leafless \underline{Conf} 163 gave lower, but not significantly lower yields than Scout. Produce was medium size grade with a bright, even colour.

 \underline{Bikini} (semi-leafless and somi-fasciated) had very short haulm. Yields of \underline{medium} -large size grade, evenly coloured peas were higher than Scout, but not significantly so.

Conf 23, Scout, Salsado, Conf 195 and FP 2083 matured 7 days later than Avola.

<u>Conf 23</u> had leafy haulm like Scout. Yields of medium-small size grade peas were higher than Scout, but not significantly sc. Produce had a good, dark, even colour.

<u>Scout</u> gave higher yields than in the Main and Preliminary trials, but gave <u>little</u> increase from TR 100 to TR 120. Peas were medium-large size grade and uneven in colour.

<u>Salsado</u> had dark, fine foliage. Yields of small size grade peas were lower than Scout, but not significantly lower. Peas had a dark, even colour.

 $\underline{Conf\ 195}$ gave higher, but not significantly higher yields than Scout at TR $\underline{120}$. Produce was medium-small size grade with a dark, even colour.

FP 2083 was semi-leafless with short erect haulm and was lower yielding than Scout. Produce was medium-small size grade with a dark, even colour.

Puget, WAV 663, 94.029, FR 100, Waverex, FP 2088, Conf 197 and SIS 1505.1 matured 1 day later than Scout.

 $\underline{\textit{Puget}}$ gave yields a little higher than Scout. Produce was medium size $\overline{\textit{qrade}}$ with uneven colour.

 ${\it WAV~663}$ was significantly higher yielding than Scout at TR 120. Peas were medium size grade with a good colour.

94.029, FR 100 and Waverex were significantly lower yielding than Scout.

 $\underline{94.029}$ was semi-leafless and semi-fasciated and had short hauln. Produce was small-medium size grade and evenly coloured.

Produce of FR 100 was very small size grade with 83% of the peas <8.75mm diameter. Peas had a good even colour.

 $\underline{\textit{Waverex}}$ had short haulm and gave very small size grade produce with 91% of the peas <8.75mm diameter.

 $\underline{\mathit{FP}}$ 2088 was semi-leafless and semi-fasciated and stood erect. Yields of medium-small size grade, evenly coloured peas were similar to Scout.

<u>Conf 197</u> had longer haulm than Scout. Yields were higher than Scout, but not significantly so. Produce was medium-small size grade with a dark, even colour.

 \underline{SIS} 1505.1 was semi-leafless and gave similar yields to Scout. Produce was medium size grade and evenly coloured.

SIS 1561.13, Conf 231, 94.020, 94.182 and Conf 358 matured 2 days later than Scout.

 \underline{SIS} $\underline{1561.13}$ and \underline{Conf} $\underline{231}$ (both semi-leafless) gave lower, but not significantly lower yields than Scott. SIS 1561.13 gave evenly coloured, very small size grade peas with 86% of the peas <8.75mm diameter. Produce of Conf 231 was medium size grade and a little uneven in colour.

 $\underline{94.020}$ and $\underline{94.182}$ were significantly lower yielding than Scout. 94.020 was conventional-leaved with a determinate, semi-fasciated habit. Produce of 94.020 and 94.182 was small-medium size grade and evenly coloured.

Produce of Conf 358 was very small, smaller than Waverex, with 95% of the peas <8.75mm diameter. Yields were significantly lower than Scout, but higher than Waverex.

FR 37 AF and SIS 1539.5 (both semi-leafless) matured 3 days later than Scout.

 \overline{FR} 37 \overline{AF} gave evenly coloured peas of medium size grade and similar yields to Scout.

 \underline{SIS} $\underline{1539.5}$ gave significantly lower yields than Scout at TR 100, but similar yields at TR 120. Produce was medium-small size grade and evenly coloured

Promising varieties were Conf 358 (petits pois), FP 2073, Wav 341, SIS 12.485.1, Conf 5 and WAV 663.

1996 March Variety Trial, Thornhaugh - All varieties sown on 14th m² sown in ten 15 cm rows Summary of agronomic data - Main Standard varieties underlined. Target population 90 plants per TABLE 1 - VINING PEA VARIETY STUDIES. Varieties placed in order of maturity. Results are means of three replicates.

Raw pea colour 1=pale 5=dark Pea wt. as % of total weight 221 221 221 221 221 221 233 233 233 Haulm length cm 0110011100110011001100110 s in size grades ΛS Stage CO Σ Canning н Yield of shelled poas as % Scout @ TR 120 SD 34.8 16.8 Practical (28/6) 1 0 (28/6) 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 + 1 1 + 2 1 + 8 1 + 8 1 + 9 1 + 9 1 + 9 1 + 12 1 + 12 1 + 12 1 + 13 Maturity relative to Avola (± days) At in size grades ΛS Stage S Z Freezing Yield of shelled peas as % Scout @ TR 100 SD 33.9 16.2 Practical Maturity relative to Avola (± days) At Seeds /kg 4869 5450 4847 5168 5266 4184 5283 8264 4562 5540 5574 7042 5163 6028 5356 5379 4836 5959 ASS SEEG CM Nun Sh SEEG SS SEED SS SEEG SS SEED SS SEEG SS SEED SS SEEG SS SEED SS SEEG SS SEED SS SEEG SS SEED SS SEEG SS SEE 1130.39) 1469-1) (SL/SF) 274) (SL) (ST) (ST) (ST) 0.05 Colana Griffin (SIS 1130.39) Alfold (S Puffold (SIS 1478.4) Gemini (SIS 789) (S Snake (CMG 298) (S Purser Balmoral (SIS 1409) H 291) α. 293) 0.5 Significance (ISD @ P = 0.05 CV % SIS) (XPF (CMG Avola Cabree (2 CMG 290 Jaguar (9 Bikini Pascha (1 Waverex Samish (1

7.5mm V = 0.05 very Scout VS = v less than - 8.75mm; Significantly S = small 7.5 0.05; 7 10.3mm; 11 1 @ P KEY: Yield: * Significantly greater than Scout Size grades: L = large > 10.3mm; M = medium 8 (SL) = Semi-leafless; (SF) = Semi-fasciated Source of varieties see Appendix 1

1 rial, Thornhaugh sown on 4th April 15 cm rows Summary of agronomic data - Preliminary Variety Standard varieties underlined. All varieties Target population 90 plants per $\rm m^2$ sown in ten PEA VARIETY STUDIES. in order of maturity. of three replicates. - VINING P TABLE 2 - Varieties Results ar

54

				At Prac	At Practical Freezing Stage	zing Stage	At Prac	At Practical Canning Stage	ing Stage	-		
						D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	3		555			
Variety		Source	Seeds	Maturity	Yield of	% in size	Maturity	Yield of	% in size	Haulm	Pea wt.	Кам реа
			/kg	relative Lo	shelled peas as	grades	relative	shelled Deas as	grades	length	as % of	colour 1=nale
				Avola	% Scout		la	% Scout			weight	5=dark
				(± days)	@ TR 100	L M S VS	(± days)	@ TR 120	L M S VS		.	
Avola		As	4869	0 (30/6)	91		0 (3/7	105	28 2		24	4.5
Talbot		As	4669	+ 2	96	39 4		55	34 3		22	4.5
SIS 1494-4	(SF)	Sh	7003	9	110	39 43		113	44 41		23	4.0
SIS 1481.10		Sh	8562	+ -7	597	12 60		62_	11 57		15	4.0
Bikini CLX 2240	(SL/SE)	S&G	4484	+ 7	106	$\frac{36}{5} \frac{51}{40} \frac{12}{45} \frac{1}{10}$	\(\phi\) \(\phi\)	1114	36 55 8 1	30	21	4.5
Scout		W W	5587	+10	100	33 4		100	27 3		21	2.0
					4.35t/ha)			(4.54t/ha)				
Waverex Apexina		SOM	8264 5556	+10	73-	1 13 50 36 22 63 14 1	+ + の の	<u>77</u> 96	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25 39	1.9 20	4.0
Favorit		AGIS	7027	+10	106	62 14		108	63 12		20	4.5
4-9172	(SF)	Dan	5435	+10	94	56 22		26	61 14		19	5.0
XPF 319	(ST)	As	9533	+10	72-	11 49		81	21 57		17	4.5
SIS 1213-2		Sh	5118	+11	1474	38 4		141+	37 4		30	4.5
SIS 1472-1	(SI)	Sh	5650	+11	126+	09		122	62 16		25	4.5
Costa		SO	6250	+11	111	42 4		107	27 3		21	4.5
SIS 1276-2	(SF)	Sh	5128	+11	66	53		66	49 11		18	5.0
Conductor	(SF)	S&G	4440	+11	84	30		85	27 2		18	5.0
Puget Methow		Bro	5163	+111	114			119	43 3		<u>22</u> 26	4.5
CMG 297	(SF)	OM	6173	+13	130+	64		126	64	43	60	0.5
CMG 308	(SF)	CM	6410	+15	127+	53		125	55	14	27	4.5
Significance @	@ P = 0.05	05			SD			SD				
CV % CV	7				14.3			15.5				

7.5mm V = 0.05 very Scout VS = v less than - 8.75mm; Significantly S = small 7.5 0.05; 10.3mm; 11 1 than Scout @ P = medium 8.75 -Yield: + Significantly greater t grades: L = large > 10.3mm; M = Semi-leafless; (SF) = Semi-fasci ce of varieties see Appendix 1 KEY: Size (SL) Scurc

Raw pea colour 1=pale 5=dark Pea wt. as % of total weight Haulm length cm s in size grades Stage S Canning Yield of shelled peas as % Scout @ TR 120 Practical Maturity relative to Avola (± days) At % in size grades ΝS Stage 33 Fractical Freezing Yield of shelled peas as % Scout @ TR 100 7.9 9.9 9.3 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.0 Maturity relative to Avola (± days) Seeds /kg 9370 6239 6173 5163 4623 9017 8929 8264 5650 A SA GOOD CONF. CO (SE/ST) (SL) (SL) (SL) (SL) SF/SL) (SI) SIS 995.8.2
SIS 995.8.2
FR 87
Conf 164
FP 2073
FP 2073
BL 208
MAV 363
SIS 1322.3
SIS 1322 Salsado Conf 195 FP 2083 Puget WAV 663 94.029 FR 100 Waverex FP 2088

data - Screening Variety Trial, Thornhaugh All varieties sown on 25th April sown in ten 15 cm rows of agronomic da underlined. A plants per m²s TABLE 3 (continued) - VINING PEA VARIETY STUDIES. Summary Varieties placed in order of maturity. Standard varieties Results are means of two replicates. Target population 90

				At Prac	At Practical Freezing Stage	zing Stage	At Pra	Practical Canning Stage	ning Stage			
Variety	й	Source	Seeds /kg	Maturity relative to Avola (# days)	Yield of shelled peas as % Scout @ TR 100	% in size Maturit grades relativ to Avola L M S VS (f cays)	> 0	Yield of shelled peas as % Scout @ TR 120	% in size grades L M S VS	Haulm length cm	Pea wt. as % of total weight	Raw pea colour 1=pale 5=dark
SIS 1505.1		Sh	4005	φ c	97	28 58 12 2	œ o	100	59 6		22	5.0
SIS 1561.13 Conf 231	(SF) (SF)	sh Conf	4806	+ + n o	92	28 60 11 1	: - +	76 96	28 60 11 1	ক ক হ	22	. o.
94.020		VMS	9930	+ 6	5.1-	33 51	& +	09	48		16	5.0
94.182	-	VMS	7474	o +	48-	37 49	∞ +	47-	45 43		12	4.5
Conf 358	9	Conf	10318	т Ф	62_		თ +	77	5 43		16	4.0
FR 37 AF	(SI) E	PLS	5102	+10	101	26	+10	102	ω		2.1	4.5
SIS 1539.5		Sh	5708	+10	-99		+10	06	53 33		23	4.0
Significance @ P LSD @ P = 0.05 CV %	P = 0.05				SD 29.1 16.2			SD 26.0 13.4				

= 0.05 Scout @ P : VS = very : less than 8.75mm; Significantly S = small 7.5 0.05; 10.3mm; 11 : KEY: Yield: * Significantly greater than Scout @ P Size grades: L = large > 10.3mm; M = medium 8.75 (SL) = Semi-leadless; (SF) = Semi-fasciated source of varieties see Appendix 1

- 12 -

icte: FR 43 & FR 152 AF were grazed by rabbils - results not available

COMBINING PEAS

Summary Of Variety Trial Results 1996

Five replicated variety trials were conducted in 1996, one of which was an overwintered trial. Some promising semi-leafless marrowfat varieties were evaluated in the Screening trial. Yields were compared with the mean of Baccara, Eiffel, Solara and Orb for the two Recommended List (RL) trials and Solara and Baccara for the Preliminary and Screening trials. Baccara was the yield standard in the coloured flowered trial and Rafale in the autumn sown trial. The human consumption quality standard was marrowfat Maro.

The Screening, Preliminary, Coloured flowered and Autunn Sown trials were carried out at Thornhaugh on a sandy loam soil. A second RL trial was conducted at Chatteris, Cambs on an organic silty loam soil. The RL trials form part of the PGRO/NIAB co-ordinated series of trials, from which the Recommended List is produced. Varieties in these trials are either fully or provisionally recommended or are candidates for the Recommended List jointly selected from the most promising registered varieties. Five varieties were in the RLO category, where extra data is sought before deciding on full Recommended List evaluation. Varieties in the Preliminary trial are at National List stage of testing in an EU member country. Breeders material at an earlier stage of development is evaluated in the Screening trial.

Seed for the trials was treated with fungicide to control damping off, Ascochyta and downy mildew diseases. All trials at Thornhaugh were drilled in mid-March. The peas emerged slowly, but with few losses. Broad-leaved weeds were controlled pre-emergence with Reflex T (fomesafen/terbutryn) and post-emergence with Pulsar + Fortrol (bentazone/MCPB + cyanazine). Pea growth was short and the varieties suffered in the dry conditions. Field thrips (Thrips angusticeps) were very active soon after emergence. Aphid (Acyrthosiphon pisum) and pea moth (Cydia nigricana) were controlled with Aphox (pirimicarb) and Hallmark (lambda-cyhalothrin). There were no infections of Botrytis or downy mildew. At Chatteris seedbed conditions were excellent and the peas established well. Broad-leaved weeds were controlled post-emergence with Pulsar + Fortrol. Growth was vigorous and there were marked differences in lodging between varieties. Aphid and pea moth were controlled and fungicides applied. Manganese sulphate was sprayed to prevent manganese deficiency. Some later varieties suffered an infection of powdery mildew.

In the hot, dry conditions varieties matured rapidly and were combined during the period 15th July to 19th August.

Produce quality was good. Levels of staining and numbers of "chalky" peas (caused by Botrytis) were negligible. Marrowfat, small blue and large blue varieties from the Thornhaugh trials were canned using a standard process to assess quality for human consumption. Selected samples will be evaluated by a panel from the canning industry. Samples of dry produce are also being evaluated by the canning industry.

Blue seeded varieties can all be used for micronising for the pet food market, but the larger seeded marrowfats are generally preferred. Here quality is less important.

The seven new varieties to the RL trials (RLO stage) were Astina, Cossack, and Espace (semi-leafless large blue seeded), Badminton, Hibou and Bonanza (semi-leafless white seeded) and Obelisque (semi-leafless marrowfat). Candidate varieties for provisional recommendation (RLI Stage) in 1996 were Laguna and Mickey (semi-leafless white seeded), and Lantra (semi-leafless larce blue seeded).

Recommended List Trial, Thornhaugh - Table 4

The peas suffered from the dry conditions during the reproductive growth stages. Haulm was short and yields were lower than the Chatteris site.

Small blue seeded $\underbrace{\textit{Orb}}_{}$ matured 2 days before Solara and had excellent Standing ability. Yields were lower than the control, but not significantly so. Orb gave a poor canned sample, showing excessive breakdown of the peas.

The large blue seeded varieties were all semi-leafless and had excellent standing ability. <u>Cossack</u> and <u>Espace</u> matured 1 day before Solara and haulm was a little longer. Yields of Cossack and Espace were similar to Solara. <u>Arena, Hampton, Elan</u> and <u>Astina</u> gave similar yields, a little higher than the control. <u>Lantra matured 1 day later than Solara and gave poor yields, significantly lower than the mean of the control varieties.</u>

None of the large blue seeded varieties gave a good sample canned peas.

All the white seeded peas were semi-leafless and standing ability of most varieties was excellent. Mickey and Alfetta matured 1 day before Solara and gave yields similar to the control. Montana, Hibou, Eiffel, Baccara, Bonanza and Chorale matured at the same time as Solara. Eiffel and Chorale had long haulm. Chorale gave the highest yields in the trial, significantly higher than the mean of the control varieties. Montana, Hibou, Eiffel, Baccara and Bonanza were all higher yielding than the control but not significantly so. Carrera, Grafila, Focus, Laguna and Badminton matured 1 day later than Solara. Grafila had long haulm, but stood well. Carrera was lower yielding than the control but not significantly so, while Focus and Grafila gave similar yields to the control. Laguna and Badminton were higher yielding than the control, but yield increases were not statistically significant.

Marrowfats <u>Celica</u>, <u>Princess</u> and <u>Obelisque</u> were semi-leafless. <u>Maro</u>, <u>Guido</u> and <u>Bunting</u> were conventional-leaved. Celica was the first to mature at the same time as Solara and had excellent standing ability. Princess and Obelisque matured 2 days later than Solara. Princess had excellent standing ability. Obelisuque did not stand as well, but combining was fairly easy. Bunting and Maro matured 3 days later than Solara and Guido 4 days later. These three varieties lodged early and had less than average standing ability.

Obelisque gave the highest yields of the marrowfats, a little higher than the control. Celica gave similar yields to the control. Frincess, Maro, Bunting and Guido were lower yielding than the control, the latter 2 varieties significantly so.

Obelisque gave the smallest produce and Guide the largest.

Maro, Guido and Bunting gave good samples of canned peas. Celica and Princess canned reasonably well, but not as well as Maro. Obelisque gave a poor canned sample showing excessive breakdown of the peas.

Recommended List Trial, Chatteris - Table 5

Growth at this site was more vicorous than the Thornhaugh site thus differences in standing ability were more obvious. In the hot dry conditions varieties suffered less than at the Thornhaugh site and yields much were higher.

Small blue seeded \underline{Orb} was the first variety to mature. Standing ability was below average and yields were significantly lower than the mean of the control varieties.

The large blue seeded peas were all semi-leafless. <u>Espace</u> was the earliest to mature 2 days before Solara. Espace had longer haulm than Solara and standing ability was excellent. <u>Lantra, Astina</u> and <u>Elan</u> matured at the same time as Solara. Elan had short straw like Solara, while Lantra and Astina were longer strawed, but all three stood well. <u>Arena</u> and <u>Cossack</u> matured 1 day later than Solara. Cossack had average standing ability, while Arena was longer strawed and stood a little better. Lantra as at the Thornhaugh site and Arena, were significantly lower yielding than the mean of the control varieties. Espace and Solara gave similar yields to the control. Astina, Elan, Cossack, and Hampton were significantly higher yielding than the control. Hampton was the highest yielding variety in the trial.

The white seeded peas were all semi-leafless.

<u>Alfetta</u> matured 2 days before Solara. Haulm was short and standing ability average. <u>Eiffel</u> had long haulm and good standing ability and matured 1 day before Solara. <u>Montana</u> matured at the same time as Solara. Haulm was short and standing ability average. <u>Carrera, Bonanza, Badminton, Mickey</u> and <u>Baccara</u> matured 1 day later than Solara. Carrera, Badminton and Baccara stood well. Short strawed Mickey had excellent standing ability, while the standing ability of Bonanza was average.

<u>Grafila</u>, <u>Chorale</u>, <u>Hibou</u>, <u>Focus</u> and <u>Laguna</u> matured 2 days later than 3olara. Grafila had very long haulm and average standing ability. Chorale and Hibou were also long strawed. Chorale stood well and Hibou had average standing ability. Focus had excellent standing ability and Laguna was better than average.

Grafila gave significantly low yields. Eiffel, Baccara, Focus and Laguna were significantly higher yielding than the mean of the control varieties. Alfetta, Montana, Carrera, Badminton, Mickey, Chorale and Hibou were a little higher yielding than the mean of the control varieties.

Marrofats <u>Celica</u>, <u>Princess</u> and <u>Obelisque</u> were semi-leafless. <u>Maro</u>, <u>Guido</u> and <u>Bunting</u> were conventional-leaved. Celica matured 1 day later than Solara, followed a day later by Cbelisuqe. Princess matured 3 days later than Solara and Guido, Maro and Bunting matured 4 days later than the standard. Obelisque had the best standing ability of the marrowfat varieties. Princess had average standing ability, but standing ability of Celica was below average at this site. Guido, Maro and Bunting had poor standing ability. Obelisque as at the Thornhaugh site was the highest yielding of the marrowfats, significantly higher than the control varieties. Celica gave similar yields to the controls. Princess, Guido, Maro and Bunting gave significantly low yields.

Produce of Obelisque was the smallest of the marrowfats, and Guido the largest. The dry seed of Obelisque was more round than drum shaped.

Preliminary Trial, Thornhaugh - Table 6

Varieties in this trial are at National List stage of testing in an EU member country. 8 varieties including the standards were evaluated. The Preliminary trial is not an official National List site, but it contains some of the varieties entered into official trials and provides a useful shop window for these varieties.

 \underline{Solara} , \underline{PF} 819.01 and \underline{BL} 746 were semi-leafless and large blue seeded. PF 819.01 matured at the same time as Solara and BL 746 matured 1 day later. All three varieties were short strawed and had excellent standing ability. FF 819.01 gave the highest yields in this trial, but yields were not significantly higher than the mean of the control varieties. Solara and BL 746 were lower yielding than the control, but not significantly so.

None of the large blues gave a good canned sample, showing excessive breakdown of the peas.

 $\underline{\mathit{Menhir}}$, $\underline{\mathit{TWY 91/4}}$ and $\underline{\mathit{Baccara}}$ were white seeded, semi-leafless, short strawed and had excellent standing ability. $\underline{\mathit{TWY 91/4}}$ and $\underline{\mathit{Baccara}}$ matured at the same time as Solara, while Menhir matured 1 day earlier. Yields of Menhir and $\underline{\mathit{Baccara}}$ were higher than the control, but not significantly so. $\underline{\mathit{TWY 91/4}}$ gave lower yields than the control.

Marrowfat varieties \underline{Eagle} (tare-leaved) and \underline{Maro} (conventional-leaved) also had short haulm. Eagle stood well, and although Maro lodged, harvesting was not difficult. Eagle matured at the same time as Solara and Maro matured 3 days later. Maro and Eagle gave similar yields, but both were significantly lower yielding than the mean of the control varieties.

Both Maro and Eagle gave large produce and good canned samples of peas.

Screening Trial, Thornhaugh - Table 7

Thirty-six varieties including the standards and a large number of marrowfat varieties were evaluated.

<u>Conf 730, 94.202, 94.545</u> and <u>Conf 904</u> were small blue seeded. Conf 730 was conventional-leaved, the remainder were semi-leafless. Conf 730 and 94.202 matured 3 days before Solara, while 94.545 and Conf 904 matured 2 days before the standard. 94.545 had excellent standing ability and ease of harvest, but standing ability of Conf 730 was very poor. 94.202 stood well.

All the small blue seeded varieties were significantly lower yielding than the mean of the control varieties. Conf 904 was the highest yielding of these and Conf 730 gave particularly low yields.

None of the canned samples of small blue varieties were acceptable.

Maturing 1 day later than Solara, \underline{Conf} 705 and \underline{Conf} 1497 were large blue seeded and semi-leafless. Both varieties had longer haulm than \underline{Solara} and all three varieties had excellent standing ability. Conf 705 and \overline{Conf} 1497 gave significantly lower yields than Solara.

None of the large blue varieties gave a good sample of canned peas.

The following varieties were white seeded.

 $\it LPKE~8134/92$ (semi-leafless) was the earliest of the white seeded peas to mature, 3 days before Solara. Haulm was longer than Baccara and standing ability very good. Yields were similar to Baccara.

 $\underline{Conf\ 1598}$ (conventional-leaved) and $\underline{Conf\ 710}$ (semi-leafless) matured 2 days before Solara. Standing ability of $\overline{Conf\ 1598}$ was very good and that of Conf 710 average. Both varieties were lower yielding than the control, Conf 1598 significantly so.

Semi-leafless \underline{Conf} 762 had excellent standing ability, but yields were significantly lower than the mean of the control varieties.

 $\underline{\textit{Baccara}}$ matured at the same time as Solara and had excellent standing ability. Yields were higher than Solara, but not significantly so.

The following varieties matured 1 day later than Solara and all wore semileafless and had excellent standing ability and ease of harvest.

<u>LPKE 8104/92</u>, <u>Conf 757</u> and <u>Conf 8</u> were significantly lower yielding than the mean of the control varieties. <u>Conf 760</u> was slightly higher yielding than the mean of the controls, while <u>LPKE 8138/92</u> was the highest yielding variety in this trial, but yields were not significantly higher than the control.

<u>XAO 10 AT, LPKE 8107/92</u> (both semi-leafless) and <u>Conf 753</u> (conventional-leaved) matured 2 days later than Solara. LPKE 8107/92 had excellent standing ability. Conf 753 had less than average standing ability, but was still easy to combine. XAO 10 AT was significantly lower yielding than the mean of the controls, while Conf 753 and LPKE 8107/92 gave similar yields to the mean of the control varieties.

The following white seeded peas matured 3 days later than Solara.

 \underline{Conf} 750 was semi-leafless and had excellent standing ability, but gave significantly lower yields than the mean of the control varieties. \underline{Conf} 752, \underline{Conf} 763, and \underline{Conf} 758 were conventional-leaved and had less than average standing ability, but were still fairly easy to combine. Yields of all three varieties were similar to the control.

Eleven new marrowfat varieties were evaluated and compared to Maro. With the exception of Maro and Conf 735, all were semi-leafless.

Earliest of the marrowfats to mature was $\underbrace{Conf\ 1}_{1}$, at the same time as Solara. Standing ability was excellent and \underbrace{Yields}_{1} were the highest of the marrowfats, similar to Solara. $\underbrace{Conf\ 2}_{2}$ matured 2 days later than Solara. Yields were significantly lower than the control, but standing ability was good. $\underbrace{XAP\ C9\ BB}_{2}$, $\underbrace{Conf\ 4}_{2}$, $\underbrace{Conf\ 6}_{2}$, $\underbrace{Conf\ 3}_{2}$, \underbrace{Maro}_{2} , $\underbrace{XBP\ 07\ DY}_{2}$ and $\underbrace{Conf\ 5}_{2}$ matured 3 days later than Solara and the control. XBP 07 DY, and Conf 5 were the better yielding and had excellent standing ability. $\underbrace{XAP\ 09\ BB}_{2}$, $\underbrace{Conf\ 4}_{2}$ and $\underbrace{Conf\ 3}_{2}$ and Maro did not stand as well, but were not too difficult to combine. $\underbrace{Conf\ 735}_{2}$, $\underbrace{Conf\ 720}_{2}$ and $\underbrace{Conf\ 715}_{2}$ matured 1 day later than Maro. Yields of these three varieties were significantly lower than the control. Convertional-leaved Conf\ 735 had poor standing ability. Semi-leafless Conf 720 had good standing ability, while Conf 715 also semi-leafless did not stand as well.

Conf 720 and Conf 715 had very large thousand grain weight.

Many of the marrowfat varieties gave acceptable canned samples. The better varieties were Conf 715, Conf 3, Conf 6, Maro, Conf 720 and Conf 735.

Winter Pea Variety Trial, Thornhaugh - Table 8

Previous trials with overwintered peas have mainly been conducted on a confidential basis with a small number of varieties. With increased interest in autumn sowing of peas 18 varieties including standards were entered into the 1995/1996 trial. Maturity and yields were compared to the variety Rafale.

The peas remained below ground during the very cold period in late December. Plants emerged on 22/1/96 and losses were less than expected. Some effects of cold were seen in late January, but all varieties grew away from this well.

Blue seeded varieties <u>Conf 904</u> and <u>Conf 496</u> were semi-leafless <u>Froidure</u>, <u>Conf 5</u> and <u>Conf 24F</u> were conventional-leaved. Froidure was the earliest variety to mature 2 days before Rafale and the latest was Conf 24F, 7 days later than Rafale. Conf 904 had short straw and stood very well. Standing ability of Froidure was poor and that of Conf 496 and Conf 5 a little better. Yields from Conf 904 and Conf 5 were significantly lower than Rafale. Froidure was a little lower yielding than Rafale. Yields from Conf 496 and Conf 24F were higher than Rafale, but not significantly so.

Produce of Conf 5 was very small, smaller than Froidure.

Conf 904, Freidure and Conf 5 did not can well, showing excessive breakdown of the peas.

Conf 496 and Conf 24F were not canned.

With the exception of <u>Conf D1</u>, <u>Conf D2</u> and <u>Conf 10F</u> (conventional-leaved) and <u>Victor</u> (tare-leaved) the white seeded peas were semi-leafless.

Conf D2 was the earliest of the white seeded peas to mature, 1 day earlier than Rafale, while \underline{Conf} $\underline{24F}$ was the latest to mature 8 days later than Rafale. Rafale had excellent standing ability. Several varieties, including \underline{Conf} $\underline{29F}$, \underline{Conf} $\underline{8F}$, \underline{Conf} 10F, and \underline{Conf} $\underline{16F}$ had shorter haulm than Rafale, but neither of them stood well. \underline{Conf} $\underline{D2}$, \underline{Victor} , \underline{Conf} $\underline{440}$ and \underline{Conf} $\underline{6}$ also stood quite well. $\underline{Elizzard}$ had longer haulm than Rafale and standing ability was poor compared to Rafale.

Conf 16F was the highest yielding variety in this trial, significantly outyielding Rafale. Conf 440, Blizzard, and Conf 8F were a little higher yielding than Rafale, but not significantly so. Conf 6 and Conf 29F gave significantly low yields.

Conf D1 had seed with a black hilum.

TABLE 4 - COMBINING PER VARIETY STUDIES. Summary of Agronomic Data - Recommended List Variety Trial, Thornhaugh - 1996 Standard varieties underlined. Control varieties for yield, Baccara, Elffel, Solara & Orb
All varieties sown on 12th March. Results are means of three replicates
Variety

Source Seed Maturity Yield & Straw Standing Ease of 1000 Water

Valley		1000	1000	Tale Shelp	of Control	length	ability	harvest	grain	uptake
			arain	(I)Solara	@ 15% MC	(Cm)	9=erect	9-easy	weight	
			weight (q)	g)			1=lodged	1=difficult	(a)	dio
SMALIL BLUES:	Target	Target population	10,	s/m²						!
Orb	S)	(SL) Sh		-2	92	41	の	വ	217	97
LARGE BLUES:	Target	Target population		s/m²						
Cossack (90-5)	5) (3	r) Sh		-1	7.6	41	σ	on ·	260	94
Espace (CEB]	1140) (S	L) SIL	254	7	66	41	σ	o.	230	94
Arena	S)	L) Nis	244	0	76	46	œ	o.	236	06
Solara	5.5	E)	292	0(26/7)	76	35	σ	o ا	305	ග ග
Hampton	189	SI) Iwv	274	0	103	43	o.	თ	302	85
F. 12.	0.		314	0	103	38	on .	თ	284	94
Astina (CEB 1141	_	SL) SIL	274	0	103	44	о	on 1	264	60 °
Lantra		SIL	263	+1	88.	42	თ	თ	298	84
	get popul	Target population 70 p	plants/m2						1	
	•	(L) DLF	311	F.	86	38	6	თ	286	
Alfetta	0,		298.	7	103	36	6	თ	287	
Montana		SIL	319	0	101	43	œ	on	270	
Hibon (PRINC 55-1	-	PBI	236	0	104	48	7	00	247	
Fiffel		Ω	288	0	105	51	ത	തി	269	
Baccara	183	SL) E1	310	0	106	40	on∫l	o l	276	
Bonanza (4-9123	_		283	0	108	43		x (240	
Chorale	37	Sh	257	0	114	649	∞ «	א תכ	877	
Carrera	5)	SIL	2.60	+1	94	ω. υ. σ.	ס מ	מ מ	0000	
Grafila	83	Sh	297	+1	30	53	x 0 (57 C	0 1 0	
Focus	92		275	+1	100	38	י רט	ז תכ	1/2	
Laguna	9	SIL	248	. ∓	104	38	ه ي	~ (5.24	
Badminton (FD 992)	_	EJ	265	+	107	38	en.	ח	744	
MARROWFATS:	Target F	ä	65 plants	·/m²			,		0	ć
Celica	1		. 323		98	43	ຫ (თ (326	ν. ω π
Princess			326	+2	95	46	00	on :	322	STT
a)	(4-9215) (§	۵	313	+2	103	46	ሪን	7	8/2	
Bunting			359	Ψ+	79	42	m,	ΩV	356	80T
Maro		elle.	347	€ + +	93	43	4. kc	سام	439	110
Guido			363	7"	06	7#	7	,		
7		10.40	+ /h2		3 22					

Significantly less than 3.55 SD 8.7 6.3 0.05; Mean yield of control varieties t/ha
Significance (P = 0.05
LSD (P = 0.05
KEY: Yield: * Significantly greater than control (P (SL) = semi-leafless
Source of varieties see Appendix 1

- 1996 TABLE 5 - COMPINING PEA VARIETY STUDIES. Summary of Agronomic Data - Recommended List Variety Trial, Chatteris Standard varieties underlined. Control varieties for yield; Baccara, Eiffel, Solara & Orb

Standard varieties underlined. All varieties sown on 28th Marc Variety	underlin on 28th J	프	Results Seed	are means o	of three replicates Yield % Sti	Straw	Standing	Ease of	1000
7			1000 grain weight(g)	days (±)Solara	of Control @ 15% MC	length (cm)	ability 9=erect 1=lodged	harvest 9=easy 1=difficult	grain weight (g)
L BLUES: Target	population		95 plants/m²		87-	42	Q1	9	217
	(30)		777	r 	5	1	4 .	4	
LARGE BLUES: Target	덬		70 plants/m²	, ,	6	(c	ď	27.0
Espace (CEB 1140)		SIL	254	-2	102	9 (e 4	n o	212
Lantra		SIL.	263	0	95	99	D [00	376
Solara			292	0 (10/8)	2010	44	-11	0 0	273
stina (CEB 1141)		SIL	2/4	> 0	+ to	0 1	~ (5	> 00	313
Elan		SIL	314	0	. GOT	000	0 (o 6	386
Arena		NiS	244		35.	20 1	0 ш	0 1	000
Cossack (90-5)		Sh	272	-	104	5.4	nt	- 0	0,77
Hampton	(SI)	MΛ	274	+2	1101	20	,	×>	OTO
WHITES: Target population 70	lation	70 pla	plants/m ²				,	·	0
	S (SI)	SIL	298	-2	104	47	ın I		286
Siffel	(SI) D	ΩI	288	디	105+	99	~ u	7 œ	300
Montana		II	319	0	103	4 /	വ	- (202
		TI	260	+1	101	43	- 1	1 C	200
Bonanza (4-9123)			283	-1	102	09	ກເ	~ 0	220
Badminton (FD 992)		드	265	- +	102	20 (~ (D C	117
dickey		된	311		103	4.x	γœ	זת	1700
Saccara		El	310	-	1094	514	۔ ا ی	~ r	787
Grafila		ਕੂ.	297	+5	U.	α 4, /	0 ٢	~ œ	202
Chorale		ų	257	+2	101	9 1	- 1	0 [051
Hibou (PBINC 55-1)		PRI	236	7+	T03	, r	n (~ 0	4 1 1 1
Focus			275	+2	- 50 T	90	xo (r (277
Laguna	(SI) S	SIL	248	+2	1084	96	0	œ	107
ARROWFATS: Target	population	tion 65	plants/m2	74					
	S (TS)	SIL	323	+	56	58	4	9	335
Malismie (4-9215)	(SI)	_	313	+2	106+	53	9	œ	326
		dy.	326	۳ +	_96	69	3	r~	335
Suido		TI	365	9+	871	58.	2	m	386
Bunting	111	Bat	359	9+	_06	57	2	₍	357
daro	O)	GA	347	9	91	64	NI	ml	352
Constitution And Later Land	4 5 0 1 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+	/H.		6.73				
Mean yleid of Contro Significance 0 P = C	= 0:05	0	D11 /		SD				
4	,	,							

- 20 -

Significantly less than control @

TABLE 6 - COMBINING PEA VARIETY STUDIES. Summary of Agronomic Data - Preliminary Variety Trial, Thornhaugh - 1996 Standard varieties underlined. Control varieties for yield; Baccara & Solara All varieties sown on 15th March. Results are means of three replicates

Variety	Source	Seed	Maturity	Yield %	Straw	Standing	Ease of	1000	Water
1		1000	days	of Control	length	ability	harvest	grain	uptake
		arain	(±)Solara	@ 15% MC	(cm)	9=erect	9=easy	weight	
		weight (g)	(£			1=lodged	1=difficult	(d)	ole
LARGE BLUES:	Target population	70 plant	ts/m²						
Solara	Solara (SL) D 292 C	292	0(26/7)	92	34	0	6	298	
PF 819.01	(SI) PBI	254	0	108	36	o	6	261	92
BL 746	(SL) PBI	265	L+	95	36	o	σ	239	91
WHITES: Tare	get population 70 p	lants/m²							
Menhir	(SL) PBI	253	-1	106	35	თ	თ	254	
4	(ST) IWY	260	0	66	33	σ:	0	265	
	(SL) E1 310	310	01	107	36	o l	ଚା	297	
MARROWFATS:	Target population	65 plant	s/m²						
Eagle	(TI,) PBI	345	0	85_	37	œ	on.	334	101
	GA 347	347	+3	1 8 8	36	mΙ	4	335	108
Mean yield of control variations	Mean yield of control varieties t/ha	t/ha		3.35 sn					
TISD & P = 0.05	50.0 L 2 80			8.4					
				2					

= 0.05 - Significantly less than control @ P KEY: Yield: + Significantly greater than control @ P = 0.05;
(SL) = semi-leafless; (TL) = tare-leaved
Source of varieties see Appendix 1

- 21 -

TABLE 7 - COMBINING PEA VARIETY STUDIES. Summary of Agronomic Data - Screening Variety Trial, Thornhaugh Standard varieties underlined. Control varieties for yield; Baccara & Solara All varieties sown on 18th March. Results are means of two replicates

											-
Variety		Source	Seed	Maturity	Yield %	Straw	Standing	Ease of	1000	Water	
			1000	days	of Control	length	ability	harvest	grain	uptake	
			ytain weight (g)) : 0 : 1 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2) (emi	1=lodged	J=difficult	(a)	qo	
SMALL BLUES:	Target po	pulation	Target population 95 plants/m	s/m²							
Conf 730	4	Conf	128	۳- ا	75-	46	-	p===1	131	98	
94.202	(SF)	VMS	117	۳) ا	85"	45	7	ത	160	96	
94,545	(SE)	vMS	161	-2	867	46	01	. On	184	7.6	
Conf 904	(SL)	Conf	196	-2	_06	40	IJ	7	228	94	
LARGE BLUES:	Target po	pulation	Target population 70 plants/m	s/m²							
Solara	(SL)		292	0 (27/77)	96	43	a /	o)	303		
Conf 705	(SI)	Conf	242	+1	86_	51	œ	0	249	8.7	
Conf 1497	(SL)	Conf	295	+1	86-	57	δ	σ	310	92	
WHITES: Target population 70 plants/m	et populat	ion 70 r	lants/m²								
LPKE 8134/92	(TS)	Per	254	e-	106	58	00	on	272		
Conf 1598		Conf	332	-2	-06	57	8	6	361		
Conf 710	(SI)	Conf	265	-2	91	51	9	7	290		
Conf 762	(SL)	Conf	231	 !	857	50	6	0	290		
Baccara	(S∵)	El	310	0	104	43	<u>ත</u>	തി	299		
LPKE 8104/92	(22)	Per	298	+1	82_	42	o	(O)	286		
Conf 757	(37)	Conf	238	 !	87-	59	თ	σ	282		
Conf 8	(SI)	Conf	273	+1	- 88	58	0	0	259		
Conf 760	(SI)	Conf	273	T+	102	64	O	Φ	316		
LPK 8138/92	(ST)	Per	280	+1	107	56	80	9	299		
XAO 10 AT	(SE)	JS	233	+2	-06	48	7	00	289		
Conf 753		Conf	235	+2	86	59	2	7	279		
LPKE 8107/92	(SI)	Per	314	+2	100	51	თ	01	327		
Conf 750	(8])	Conf	217	+3	-98	52		a	221		
Conf 752		Conf	225	+3	101	63	Ŋ	7	284		
Conf 763		Conf	191	+3	101	53	9	80	261		
Conf 758		Conf	271	£+	102	52	4	9	295		

TABLE 7(continued) - COMBINING PEA VARIETY STUDIES. Summary of Agrenomic Data - Screening Variety Trial, Thornhaugh - 1995 Standard varieties underlined. Control varieties for yield; Baccara & Solara All varieties sown on 18th March. Results are means of two replicates

Variety		Source	Seed 1000 grain weight(g	Maturity days (1)Solara	Yield % of Control @ 15% MC	Straw length (cm)	Standing ability 9=erect 1=lodged	Ease of harvest 9=easy 1=difficult	1000 grain weight (g)	Water uptake	1
MARROWFATS:	Target population	1	65 plants/m	/ш,							1
Conf 1	O (SI)	onf	319	0	92	48	O	σ	320	100	
Conf 2	(SI) C	Conf	396	+2	81_	46	00	5	367	103	
XAP 09 BB		13	295	«+	_97	56	7	ω	336	104	
Conf 4		Juot	418	+3	_08	47	80	on.	397	107	
Conf 6	(SI)	onf	390	+3	81-	49	7	œ	355	110	
Conf 3		onf	416	+3	83"	54	4	9	383	114	
Maro	ان	GA	347	۳ 	88	47	(n	ഗ	338	112	
XBP 07 DY		13	259	+3	_06	54	on.	o	329	102	
Conf 5	(SI) C	Conf	399	+3	_06	48	6	6	373	113	
Conf 735	9	lonf	349	+4	- 64	38	1	7	369	103	
Conf 720	(SI) C	onf	348	+4	74"	45	7	œ	419	110	
Conf 715		onf	360	+4	-77	40	4	9	401	108	
Mean yield of control varieties t/ha Significance @ P = 0.05 ISD @ P = 0.05 CV %	<pre>f control vai d P = 0.05)5</pre>	rieties	t/ha		4.29 SD 8.97 5.0						

Significantly less than control @ P KEY: Yield: + Significantly greater than control @ P
(SL) = semi-leafless;
Source of varieties see Appendix 1

TABLE 8 - COMBINING PEA VARIETY STUDIES. Summary of Agronomic Data - Winter Pea Variety Trial, Thornhaugh - 1995/1996 Standard varieties underlined. Control variety for yield; Rafale All varieties sown on 20th November. Results are means of three replicates

1

Variety	Source	c Seed 1000 grain	Final P Plant C Populatin (Maturity days (1)Rafale	Yield % of Control @ 15% MC	Straw length (cm)	Standing ability 9=erect	Ease of harvest 9-easy	1000 Water grain uptake weight	Water
		(fi) Juftam					1=10dged	1=difficult	(6)	dΦ
BLUES: Target po	Ę.	plants/m								
Conf 904	(ST) Conf	250	74	T i	-68	41	80	O	282	9.4
Froidure	HAM	166	7.9	-2	95	55		m	987	90
Conf 496	(SL) Conf	172	69	-	106	61	m	lr ₂	212	95
Conf 5	Conf	06	77	+5	79-	29	m	ഗ	126	103
Conf 24F	Conf	140	69	+7	103	73	2	4	197	9
	Target population 70 plants/m	plants/m ²								
	Conf	159	7.0		9.6	4.8	7	· ox	210	
Rafale	(ST.) Wh	170	7.2	0/19/7)	, ,	y v	- c	> 0	017	
Conf D1	Conf	199	1 m	+1	103	0 2	שות	νlα	787	
Conf 437		174	69	+2	86	5.2	9 4	o ve	000	
Victor		222	7.1	+4	86	45	7	· oc	230	
Conf 440	(SI) Conf	190	89	+4	104	59	7	000	187	
Conf 6		200	73	+5	-68	57	G	œ	25.4	
Conf 17F		207	65	4.5	93	44	2	9	200	
Blizzard		194	69	+2	103	62	m	· KC	243	
Conf 29F		110	99	+5	168	37	2	0 41	223	
Conf 8F	(SL) Conf	157	75	9+	104	38	m	יוכ	200	
Conf 10F	Conf	162	64	+7	66	60) \	200	
Conf 16F	(SL) Conf	156	80	8+	111+	40	1 72	4	198	
Mean vield of control variety:/ha	trol variety	+/ha			78 80					
Significance @ P	- 0.05				SD					
LSD @ P = 0.05					9.0					
					•					

Significantly less than control @ P = 0.05 KEY: Yield: + Significantly greater than control 3 P = 0.05; (SL) = semi-leafless; (TL) - tare-leaved Source of varieties see Appendix 1

GREEN BEANS

Summary of Variety Trial Results 1996

Main and Preliminary Trials are partly funded by the Horticultural Development Council (HDC). Main Trial varieties included four very fine/extra fine podded beans, a fine Nerina type and a large long podded variety. There were several very fine/extra fine beans in Preliminary Trial, a reflection of the market in France, the remainder were long podded, suitable for cutting or slicing. Yield and maturity are related to Nerina the standard. Laguna is now included as the intermediate length/medium width bean, replacing Groffy which is now only included as a harvesting standard.

All seed was treated with an insecticidal/fungicidal seed treatment to control bean seed fly (Delia platura) and fungal 'damping off' disease. The Main Trial was sown on 23 May into a moist seedbed and emerged quickly during a spell of warm weather. The Preliminary Trial was sown on 6 June just before rain on the 7th. Seedbed conditions were good for both trials. Rainfall during June and July was much lower than the long-term average and the trials were irrigated on one occasion. Temperatures were not as high as in the previous year. There was 19 mm of rain 10-11 August just before the start of harvest of the Preliminary Trial.

Harvesting began on 5 August, and the beans matured slowly in comparison with the previous year so relative maturity differences between varieties were greater.

All varieties were machine harvested with a Ploeger transverse 3 row plot harvester, and data for percentage of 'T' stalks in the samples are presented in Table 11. The figures were generally lower than in 1995.

Main Trial varieties and a large number of new ones (40 in total) from several seed companies were demonstrated at a site near Aylsham, Norfolk on 29 August - the wettest day of the summer.

Main Trial, Thornhaugh - Table 9

Evaluation of Mondeo and Sonore was extended but the varieties Nickel and Scuba are in their last year of evaluation.

Four very fine/extra fine podded beans were compared with Masai the standard for this group.

<u>Masai</u>, a very fine bean, had uniform short straight pods. Colour and maturity was uneven in this trial and the processed sample was better for the Preliminary Trial.

 \underline{Sonore} , had very fine, long pods which were not as straight or dark as Masai. It gave an attractive quick-frozen sample. Pod distribution on the plant was rather tangled.

<u>Rasada</u>, had a narrower pod width than Masai, pods were dark and maturity was uneven. It was the lowest yielding in this group. Plants were tall and erect, pod distribution was good and there was a very low percentage of 'T' stalks in the harvested produce as in 1995.

<u>Piccolo (BK5)</u>, an extra-fine bear, the finest in this group gave an attractive, but very dark frozen sample with straight, even pods. Raw bean colour was medium. Seed development was slow.

<u>Nickel</u>, a very fine podded variety was high yielding in this trial. Beans were straight and uniform size. Seed development was slow. Pods were rather tandled on the plant.

There were only two short podded fine varieties in trial:

<u>Lasso</u>, the standard variety suffered from drought stress; plants were short, some pods touched the soil and maturity was very uneven and yields low. Pod colour was medium/pale.

<u>Mondec</u>, was wider podded than Lasso, more suitable for cutting (or slicing). Pods were even size and good medium dark colour. The quickfrozen sample had a good flavour. Yields and harvestability were good.

One variety was compared with Nerina, both were suitable for cutting:

<u>Faulista</u>, was much slimmer than Nerina. Pods were dark, very straight. <u>Yields</u> were better at canning stage, (the first at quick-freezing stage was harvested too early). A few touched the soil.

Nerina, pods were rather curly and maturity and pod size was uneven.

Paulista and Nerina had a high percentage of 'T' stalks in the sample.

Two varieties with medium pod width and intermediate length were compared with Laguna the standard:-

<u>Scuba</u>, was early maturing and lower yielding than Laguna. Pods were uneven in maturity. Plants were not as tall as Laguna and pods were set low and some had touched the soil. There was a high number of 'T' stalks in the machine harvested sample.

Nomad, was high yielding and pods were medium/dark and straight and slimmer than Laguna. Harvesting early avoided development of large seeds. The percentage of 'T' stalks in the machine harvested sample was average, similar to Laguna.

<u>Laguna</u>, the standard, performed very well: yields were high, pods were even, straight and dark and seed development was slow.

There was only one large bean suitable for cutting or slicing in trial:-

<u>Matador</u>, yields were similar to Laguna and pods were wide. Although plants were leafy and tall, the pods were set very low, several touched the soil and were rather curly. It had the lowest percentage of 'T' stalks in trial.

Freliminary Trial, Thornhaugh - Table 10

Varieties entered for Preliminary Trial are on, or entered for, National List in an EU member country.

Six very fine/extra-fine varieties were compared with $\underline{\mathit{Masai}}$, which yielded well in this trial. $\underline{\mathit{Sapporo}}$ and $\underline{\mathit{Ft.imera}}$ were also high yielding in this group. $\underline{\mathit{Sifin}}$, $\underline{\mathit{CO6827}}$, $\underline{\mathit{BL90-BL5}}$ and $\underline{\mathit{BL87-D55}}$ all suffered from split flowering thus maturity was uneven and all were lower yielding than Masai. Masai and Primera had the lowest percentage of 'T' stalks in the machine harvested sample; $\underline{\mathit{BL87-D55}}$ and Sapporo had the highest.

 $\underline{co6827}$, was early maturing, pods were a little larger than Masai and nearly as straight. Several pods touched the soil.

 $\underline{\mathit{Masai}},$ yielded better than in the Main Trial and the quick-frozen sample was more even.

 $\underline{\textit{Primera}},$ a finer podded bean than Masai performed well. Pods were straight, uniform and a dark green colour.

Sifin, had extra fine beans. It appeared to be sensitive to drought stress: Plants were short, several pods touched the soil and were not as straight as Masai.

BL90-BL5, another extra fine bean, longer than Masai, gave an attractive quick-frozen sample of produce with a good colour. Plant habit was good, better than Sifin.

BL87-D55, a very fine, long podded bean, was early maturing but uneven. Pods were medium/pale. Plants were tall, leafy and rather lax.

Sapporo, a very fine long podded type had glessy medium/dark pods that became paler after processing. It was later maturing than Masal, not as uniform and pods covered a range of size grades.

In Preliminary trial no varieties were compared with <u>Lasso</u> which performed better than in Main Trial, <u>Merina</u>, or <u>Laguna</u>. <u>Laguna</u> gave very high yields of straight, uniform dark pods. All other varieties evaluated were long podded and with medium-large pod width.

RS1384, was very early maturing, but suffered from split flowering and uneven maturity. Pods were a similar width and colour to Nerina but

CO6739, also matured early, but suffered from split flowering and uneven maturity. Pods had a dull rough skin, pod colour became darker after processing. The beans were tall and vigorous but pods were set low on the plant and were rather curly.

Marcio, was outstanding in this trial and at Aylsham. Yields were good. Pods were glossy, medium/dark green and held their colour and maturity well. Seeds were small. Pods were exceptionally straight (unusual for a long podded variety), and slimmer than Laguna. The beans were tall, leafy and vigorous, but had a slightly lax plant habit. It had the higher percentage of 'T' stalks in this group.

HS6620(Classic), was the darkest podded bean in trial, and size was uniform. It was the lowest yielding in this group, but gave a good quickfrozen product.

NUN 3494, was the highest yielding variety in trial. Pods were also the largest and were very straight for a long podded type and particularly even. They were also rather pale and the quick-frozen product was less attractive than Laguna. Plants were tall and vigorous and pods well spaced

HS 6694(Tasman), pcds were longer and wider and not as dark as HS 6620. Maturity and size was uneven. The beans were more leafy than HS 6620 and some pods touched the soil. However, harvesting characteristics were otherwise good with the lowest percentage of 'T' stalks in this trial.

The most promising varieties were Marcio, Primera and possibly NUN 3494.

VARIETY STUDIES. Summary of agronomic data - Main Variety order of maturity within each group. Standard varieties ind three replications. Target populations 45 plants per $\pi^2.$

										-	***************************************						
			At Practical Freezing Staye	ical Staye	At Practical Canning Stage	cal		No.			Fod C	Fod Characteristics	eristic	80			
Variety	Source	Seeds /kg	Maturity (± days) relative	Yield % of Nerina	Maturity (± days) relative	Yield % of Nerina	*Plant habit	-, -	External colour raw	Shape 1=v.curved 5=straight		Average length cm	Φ	Section 1=flat 5=round		Pod width mm	
			to Nerina		to Nerina			5=ncne		Ή	ο	Ēı	D	[zı	O	Ĺ	٥
Very fine/extra fine Masai Sag Sonore For Nun Piccolo Nickel Nickel	ra fine S&G FV Nun Sh NiS	5747 6423 7680 7937	2 H028	66 ⁷ 70° 70° 994 -	# 1 + +	747 747 73- 93	ស ស ស ស ស	ው ው ው ጭ ሙ	M/D M/D M/D	4 4 4 4 5 7	5.0 4.4 4.9 9.9	111.8 111.1 111.2 10.9	9.7 12.0 11.1 11.5	33.5	2. 4 4 4 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7.1	7.4 7.4 7.1 6.8
Fine (Short) Lasso Mondeo	PV PV	7076 5092	710	71.	€10 1	72-	ഹിസ	⇔ ₩	M/P M/D	4.7	8 6 4	9.2	9.6	4 4 8	5.0	8.8	9.3
Fine (Intermediate) Nerina RS Paulista RS	RS RS	4965	$\frac{0}{(7/8)}$	(9.4t/ha)	(10/8)	(11.17) 5 (11.100 5	vi v	ਲ ਚ	M/D	4.5	4.3	11.9	12.1	4.8	5.0	8 8 8	9.1
Medium (Intermediate) Scuba PV Nomad PV Laguna PV	rmediate) PV PV	4200 3858 3407	N N rd + +	89.6 120	m ≈ ≈ 1 1 + +	80- 124 ⁺ 140 ⁺	(១១១)	a n nj	M/D M/D	5.0	5.0	11.5	12.2	4.6	5.0	9.4	9.9
Large (Long) Matador	As	3916	z +	135*	+ 2	130*	ιΩ	2	Q	3.7	3.0	13.1	13.7	4.9	5.0		10.2
Significance @ LSD @ P = 0.05 CV%	Da II	0.05		SD 12.0 8.0		SD 13.7 8.7						SD 0.36 1.9	sD 0.24 1.3			SD 0.21 1.6	SD 0.16 1.1

6 June. do SOWn TABLE 10 - GREEN BEAN VARIETY STUDIES. Summary of agronomic data - Preliminary Variety Trial - 1996 Varieties placed in order of maturity within each group. Standard varieties underlined. All varieties Results are means of two replicates. Target population 45 plants per m². Row width 25 cm

			At Practical Freezing Stage	ical Stace	At Practical Canning Stage	ical Stage		No.			Pod C	haracte	Pod Characteristics	S.	:		
Variety	Source	Seeds /kg	Maturity (# days) relative	p gu	Maturity (# days) relative	Yield % of Nerina	"Plant habít	Pods touching soil 1-most	External colour raw	Shape 1=v.curved 5=straight	urved	Average length cm	ge t	Section 1=flat 5-round	.cn it ind	Pod width mm	
			to Nerina		to Nerina			5=none		Ēzi	υ	[t ₄	ပ	[v]	υ.	ſz,	C
Very fine CO6827 Masai	Yery fine/extra fine 206827 JS 506827 JS	6116 5747	m 01 0	76-	1 1 1 4 01/4	- 69 - 85 - 85 - 85	ស ស]ស	2 O C	М/D С/М	5.0	5.0	10.5	10.7	4.0	4.0	7.6	7.8
Sifin BL90BL5 BL87D55 Sapporo	Sh Sh RS	2091 22987 5988 6549	111+	707 617 757 85	111+	63. 73 91	ು ಬರು ಈ ಬ	, , , , , , ,	D M/P	5.0 4.7 8.8	4444 00000	12.4 13.4 13.2	11.6 12.4 13.6 13.3		. w w 4 w o o o c . w	6.3	7.166.8
Fine (Short)	rt)	7076	(21)	89	21	84	ıυį	4t	M/P	5.0	5.0	9.6	10.0	4.9	5.0	9.6	8.7
Fine (Int Nerina	Fine (Intermediate) Nerina RS	4965	(15/8)	100 (11.0t/ha)	$\frac{0}{(18/8)}$	100 (13.8t/ha)	ωl	61	M/D	4.2	4.1	12.4	13.0	4.2	5.0	0.6	0.5
Medium (Intermediate)	ntermedia <u>PV</u>	3407	+	135	+	132+	νI	01	ΩI	5.0	5.0	12.6	12.8	5.0	5.0	4.	10.0
Medium/La RS1384 CO6739 Marcio HS6620	Medium/Large (Long) XS1384 RS CO6739 JS Aarcio S&G HS	3136 4545 3258 3772	ммно 1 † 1	93 105 120 83	nn⊣0	105 90 111 97	លលលល	ଟେ ଅଟେ ଠ	D M M/D Very D	4.3 5.0 4.6	4.1	13.0 13.8 13.7	13.7 13.8 13.9	4.4 5.0 4.0	4.9 8.5 6.5	0000 6474	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
(Classic) NUN3494 HS6694 (Tasman)	Nun HS	4303	N N + +	155	+ +	141* 118	വവ	0 7	M/P O	8.8	4.8	14.6	15.2	4.6	5.0	10.1	10.2
Significance @ LSD @ P = 0.05 CV%	ρ ₄	= 0.05		SD 21.4 11.0		SD 29.2 13.0						SD 0.42 1.6	SD 0.49 1.8			SD 0.30 1.2	SD 0.31 1.7

Significantly lower yield than Nerina @ P = 0.05; + Significantly higher yield than Nerina @ P = 0.05.

Significantly lower yield than Nerina @ P = 0.05; + Significantly higher yield than Nerina @ P = 0.05.

Significantly lower yield than Nerina @ P = 0.05; + Significantly higher yield than Nerina @ P = 0.05.

Fine (Short) pods (10 cm length or less) suitable for freezing or canning whole; freezing stage (seed length) 80; canning SL 100 very fine-Nextra-fine pods (10 - 13 cm length); 6.5 - 8 mm width) for freezing whole or cutting; freezing stage SL 30-90; canning SL 100-110 Medium (Intermediate) pods (10 - 13 cm length); > 9.5 mm width) suitable for cutting; freezing stage SL 30; canning SL 110 Large (Long) pods (> 13 cm length); > 9.5 mm width) suitable for cutting or slicing; freezing stage SL 30; canning SL 120

varieties see Appendix 1 Source of

- Main and Preliminary Trials 1996 TABLE 11 - GREEN BEAN VARIETY STUDIES. Summary of machine harvesting data Percentage by weight of "T" stalks at quick freezing or canning stage

Main Trial Variety	% T Stalks	٥	Preliminary Trial Variety	% T Stalks	D "
Very fine/extra fine Masal Sonore Rassda Piccolo Nickel	21 17 8 12 21	16 186 23 23	Very fine/extra fine CO6827 Masai Masai Sifin R1,9CR15 B187D55	17 15 15 20 20 23	000 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
Fine (Short) Lasso Mondeo	26 10	13 13	Sapporo Fine (Short) Lasso		2 2 2
Fine (Intermediate) Nerina Paulista	2 6 5 5	24. 26	Fine (Intermediate) Nerina	26	29
Medium (Intermediate) Scuba Nonad Laguna	8 C 8 C 8	22 118 14	Medium (Intermediate) Laguna	б .	27
<u>Larce (long)</u> Matador	ω	ω	Large (Intermediate) RS1384 C06739 Marchio (Classic) NUN3494 HSS694 (Tasman)	0 1 2 0 1 5	2 1 2 2 3 2 5 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Groffy standard	12	12	Groffy standard	4.4	19
Significance @ P = 0.05 LSD @ P = 0.05 CV%	SD 5.2 17.4	SD 4.6 16.2	Significance @ P = 0.05 LSD @ P = 0.05 CV%	SD 4.4 10.3	SD 6.5

BROAD BEAN VARIETY TRIAL

This trial, in its second year, is funded by the Horticultural Development Council (HDC). Although several varieties have been entered in the trial system over the years they seldom complete three years tests and the newer varieties have not been compared with an established range. Yield and maturity data for a range of varieties will be used to produce a growers leeflet on broad bean varieties.

Broad Bean Trial, Thornhaugh - Table 12

Four standard sized broad beans including a coloured flowered variety were compared with Medes the yield and naturity standard. Scorpio, tested in 1995, has been withdrawn from the market by the breeder. The trial also included four small and one very small and three bright green, seeded beans. Three additional varieties were at screening stage.

Seed of all varieties was treated with fungicide to control "damping off" disease and sown on 10 April so that they followed viring peas in the harvesting sequence, as required in commercial practice.

The trial was sown in ideal seedbed conditions on a sandy loam soil with good tilth. Broad-leaved weeds were controlled with Reflex T (fomesafen/terbutryn) pre-emergence and cleavers with Basagran (bentazone) post-emergence. Aphox (pirimicarb) was applied to control bean aphid (although none were seen), and Folio (metalaxyl/cnlorothalonil) fungicide to control a slight infection with downy mildew (Peronospora viciae). Most varieties were infected with bean rust (Uromyces fabae), with Talia, Beryl and Statissa and Jade suffering the worst infection, Metissa the lowest. There is no fungicide registered to control this disease in broad beans.

Diamant was the earliest variety to mature (18 July), followed by Metissa (19 July). Beryl (1 September) and Jade (31 August) were the latest.

Samples were quick-frozen at about 130 TR (for 140g sample) and canned at about 120 TR (for a 56g. sample).

Large Seeded:-

Medes, tall vigorous variety and the control standard for yield, yielded well, better than in the 1995 trial.

 $\underline{\textit{Listra}}$ outyielded Medes and all other varieties in trial (although the differences between Metissa or Statissa were not statistically significant). It matured one day after Medes.

<u>Metissa</u> also outyielded Medes and was the earliest maturing variety in this group. It was the shortest strawed variety and appeared more susceptible to downy mildew than other varieties.

<u>Statisse</u>, a coloured flowered variety unsuitable for canning, performed better than in 1995, yields were significantly higher than Medes. Bean seed size was very large this year.

Optica, slightly smaller seeded than Medes, out yielded similarly.

Small Seeded:-

There were five <u>small</u> <u>seeded</u> varieties in trial and of these <u>Danko</u> and <u>Gold</u> (NIZ90-196) were the <u>largest</u> of the group, Gold yielded higher than <u>Danko</u> at quick-freezing stage and lower at canning stage. Danko beans are rather rounded, Gold has very uniform sized produce.

<u>Diamant</u> and <u>Talia</u> yielded similarly with high yields for a bean of this type. Talia beans were slightly smaller than Diamant. Produce from both varieties was a uniform size.

<u>Beryl</u>, tall and late maturing, was the smallest seeded variety in trial and vields, as in 1995, were correspondingly low.

There were three green seeded broad beans in trial:

 $\underline{\textit{Jade}}$ a late maturing variety gave poor yields of small seeded bright lime green beans.

<u>Greeny</u> very tall and also late maturing, gave better yield of beans than Jade and beans were a similar size and colour.

<u>Verdy</u> was the highest yielding variety in this group and produce with a strong green colour, even size and good flavour was the most attractive and could be useful as an interesting new product.

Screening Trial

6-9038 appeared to suffer from bean leaf roll virus and yields were significantly lower than Medes. The bean seed size was similar to Medes.

 $\frac{6-9022}{\text{was}}$ was a very tall vigorous variety which set many pods, but maturity was uneven. Seed size was small and not very uniform.

 $\frac{6-9037}{\mathrm{slightly}}$ was the highest yielding of the three, similar to Medes. Beans were slightly smaller than Medes.

April. 유 - 1996. varieties Trial, Thornhaugh sunderlined. All Row width 30 cm. Summary of agronomic data - Variety T within each group. Standard varieties Target population 18 plants per $m^{\,2}\,.$ TABLE 12 - BROAD BEAN VARIETY STUDIES. Variet's placed in order of maturity was Results are means of three replicates.

		***************************************	Marie Control of the						The second secon	
			·	A Fre	At Practical Freezing Stage		At	At Practical Canning Stage		
	Variety	Source /kg	Seeds (± Days)	Maturity relative to Medes	Yield as % of Medes	Mean length 10 beans mm	Maturity (± Days) relative to Medes	Yield as % of Medes	Mean length 10 beans mm	Plant height cm
	Metissa Optica Statissa (C) Medes	Nis Nis Nis	1019 1052 811 1181	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	120* 101 118* 100 100 100	205 191 212 199	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	123 95 117 100 0 100	215 196 219 203	63 · 71 / 77
	Lustre	Nun	1351	+ 1	131	200	+ 1	127	206	€ 60
_	Smaller seeded Diamant Gold (NIZ90-196) Danko Talia	NiS Nis Nun Nun	1326 1809 1800 1675	♥더러러 !!!+	9 8 8 8 	171 177 178 167	11 +	877 93 867 777	175 179 181 171	69 47 07 70
22	Very small Beryl	8&G	2309	+ 10	-26-	160	+ 10	585	165	83
	Green seeded Verdy Greeny Jade	Nun Nun Nis	1511 1756 1530	m r- o.	9 9 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	176 172 175	+ + + w ~ v	877 83 567	183 175 178	74 99 82
	Screening Trial 6-9038 6-9022 6-9037	Pro-Veg Pro-Veg Pro-Veg	1575 1746 1708	0 O H +	F I M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	198 172 185	000	84- 79- 101	205 174 190	8 0 8 9 0 8
	Significance @ P = LSD @ P= 0.05 CV%	= 0.05			SD 15.4 10.0	SD 3.8 1.2		SD 12.7 8.4	SD 2.9 0.9	SD 6.25 4.8

Significantly higher yield + 0.05; Н Clu (2) Medes Key: C= coloured flowered; - Significantly lower yields than M Practical freezing stage: TR 110 - 140 for a 140g sample Practical canning stage: TR 115 - 140 for a 55g sample

than Medes

PROCESSING DETAILS FOR CANNED SAMPLES

All samples were sorted to remove damaged or diseased produce and extraneous matter, washed and then blanched in water of 6° hardness. After cooling and further sorting the cans were filled, the brine added and then the cans were seamed and processed. After processing the cans were cooled in chlorinated water and air dried ready for storage.

The processing details for each group are given below:-

1. Vining Peas

Blanch: 1.5 min. @ 93°C

Wt/280 g can 194.5 g

15 g/l of water @ 93°C Brine: Sugar Salt

13 g/l of water @ 93°C

15 min. @ 121°C, 1.05 kg/m² pressure Process:

2. Green Beans

Blanch: 6 min. @ 74°C

142 g

Wt/280 g can Brine: Salt

15.6 g/l of water @ 93°C 15 min. @ 121°C, 1.05 kg/cm² pressure Process:

3. Broad Beans

4 min. @ 85°C Blanch:

Wt/280 g can

194.5 g 18.83 g/l of water @ 93°C

Brine: Salt

14 min. @ 121°C, 1.05 kg/cm² pressure Process:

4. Combining Peas

The percentage of non-soakers (peas which did not take up water during the following soaking process) were estimated.

18-24 hours in water of 6°C hardness

Blanch: 2 min. @ 84°C

Wt/280 g can 125 g

15 g/l of water @ 82.5°C Brine: Sugar

14.5 g/l of water @ 82.5°C Salt (*Colour

0.18 g of U.R. 213/1 of water @ 82.5°C) (*Mint

0.11 ml/l of water @ 85.8°C) 20 min. @ 121°C, 1.05 kg/cm² pressure Process:

^{*} This year samples were processed without colour or mint.

PROCESSING DETAILS FOR FROZEN SAMPLES

All samples were sorted to remove damaged or diseased produce and extraneous matter, washed and then blanched in water of 6° hardness. After cooling in tap water and further sorting the samples were packed for

The processing details for each crop are given below:-

1. Vining Peas

1.5 min. @ 93°C Blanch: 0 -30°C 0 -18°C Blast frozen Stored

2. <u>Green Beans</u>

Blanch: 4 min. @ 85°C whole Cut or packed whole according to process requirement Blast frozen @ -30°C Stored @ -18°C

3. Broad Beans

4 min. 0 82°C 0 -30°C Blanch Blast frozen Stored @ -18°C

APPENDIX 1

KEY TO SOURCE OF VARIETIES

AGIS	AGIS, Germany
As	Asgrow Seed Company, USA
Bat	Van den Bergh Foods Limited, UK
Bl	André Blondeau Semences, France
Bro	W. Brotherton Seed Company Inc., USA
BSH	British Seed Houses, UK
Cl	Clause S.A., France
CM	Crites-Moscow Growers Inc., USA
Conf	Confidential
D	Dalgety Agriculture Limited, UK
Dan	Danisco Seed A/S, Denmark
DLF	DLF Trifolium, Denmark
E1	Elsoms Seeds Limited, UK
GA	General Availability
HAM	Harlow Agricultural Merchants, UK
HS	Holland Select BV., Holland
JS	Johnsons Seeds Limited, UK
NiS	Nickerson Seeds Limited, UK
Nun	Nunhems Zaden BV., Holland
PBI	Plant Breeding International, Cambridge, U
Per	Perryfields Seeds, UK
PH	Pioneer Hi-bred, UK
PV	Pop Vriend BV., Holland
Pro-Veg	Pro-Veg Seeds
PLS	Pure Line Seeds Inc., USA
RS	Royal Sluis Limited, UK
S&G	S & G Semences, France
Sh	Sharpes International Seeds Limited, UK
SIL	Seed Innovations Limited, UK
SQ	Saatzuch Quedlinburg, Germany
Twy	CPB-Twyford, UK
vMS	van Mierlo Seeds, Holland
νW	van Waveren, Germany
WAC	W. A. Church (Bures) Ltd, UK
Wh	Wherry & Son Limited, UK

<u>NOTES</u>

- 37 -